

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/790,936	03/01/2004	Charles John Call	MESO0072	3230	
25268 7590 12/15/2008 LAW OFFICES OF RONALD M ANDERSON			EXAM	EXAMINER	
600 108TH AVE, NE SUITE 507 BELLEVUE, WA 98004			ALEXANDER, LYLE		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DELLES VOLG			1797		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			12/15/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/790.936 CALL ET AL. Interview Summary Examiner Art Unit 1797 Lyle A. Alexander All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel): (1) Lyle A. Alexander. (2) Mr. King. (4)____. Date of Interview: 09 December 2008. c) Personal (copy given to: 1) applicant 2) applicant's representative Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) Yes e) No. If Yes, brief description: _____. Claim(s) discussed: all. Identification of prior art discussed: all. Agreement with respect to the claims f) was reached. g) was not reached. h) N/A. Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments; Applicants traversed the 35 USC 112 first paragraph rejection explaining that figure 26 clearly teaches the analysis is performed on the collection surface. The Office will considered this position further upon formal submission and if the claimed "without" language constitutes a negative limitation which would be considered new matter. Amendments were also discussed to specify the type of analysis to define over the art of record. (A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.) THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

/Lyle A Alexander/
Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1797
U.S. Patent and Trademan Office